

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/786,261	02/25/2004	Kok-Wai Chang	78026CIP1 (10-479 US CIP)	6949	
27975	7590 07/21/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
ALLEN, DYER, DOPPELT, MILBRATH & GILCHRIST P.A. 1401 CITRUS CENTER 255 SOUTH ORANGE AVENUE			LAVARIAS, ARNEL C		
P.O. BOX 3		Id II (OB / I V EI (OB	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ORLANDO,	FL 32802-3791		2872		
			DATE MAILED: 07/21/200	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/786,261	CHANG ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Arnel C. Lavarias	2872			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statureply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days to will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te, cause the application to become ABANDONE.	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)[🛛	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>25 February 2004</u> .					
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
5) 6) 7)	4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-11 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers	•				
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
. 10)□) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119		(
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document according to the priority document according to the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureacce the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in Applicationity documents have been received au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachmen		. —				
2) Notic 3) Infon	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 or No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/786,261

Art Unit: 2872

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-6, 8-11, drawn to a polarization dependent polarizer for depolarizing two linear orthogonally polarized incoming beams of light, as generally set forth in Claim 1, the polarizer including a housing having polarization maintaining input optical fibers, a polarization beam combiner oriented to receive the two linear orthogonal components of light exiting the input optical fibers, and a first high order depolarizing waveplate having a principle optical axis and having a length along the axis to achieve depolarization of a beam propagating entirely along the axis such that the degree of polarization (DoP) of the beam exiting the first high order depolarizing waveplate is less than 20%, the waveplate having ordinary and extraordinary indices of refraction, a difference of the indices of refraction being at least 0.1, the first high order depolarizing waveplate being oriented such that orthogonally linear components of the beam received from the polarization beam combiner are at substantially 45 degrees to the optical axis of the first high order depolarizing waveplate, classified in Class 385, subclass 11.
 - II. Claim 7, drawn to a polarization dependent depolarizer, wherein a high order depolarizing 45 degree waveplate has a length defined in accordance with a mode spacing of the incident beam, so as to realize an output beam having a DoP of less than 10%, classified in Class 359, subclass 494.

Application/Control Number: 10/786,261

Art Unit: 2872

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Page 3

- 2. Inventions I and II are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the polarization dependent depolarizer, including the housing, optical fibers, polarization beam combiner, and first high order depolarizing waveplate, (i.e. the combination) does not include the particulars of the depolarization dependent depolarizer, including a high-order depolarizing 45 degree waveplate having a length defined in accordance with a mode spacing of an incident beam (i.e. the subcombination). The subcombination has separate utility such as in part of an optical system such as displays, circulators, isolators, or in optical measurement and testing equipment.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 10/786,261 Page 4

Art Unit: 2872

5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a

separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction

for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species 6.

of the claimed invention:

Applicant is required to elect one of the following species if election is made to

Invention I:

Species 1: drawn to Figure 10.

Species 2: drawn to Figure 7.

The claims are deemed to correspond to the species listed above in the following manner:

Species 1: Claims 2, 4, 6, 8-11.

Species 2: Claims 3, 5.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for

prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is

finally held to be allowable. Currently, Claim 1 is generic between Species 1 and 2 of

Invention I.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of

the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims

readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is

allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

7. A telephone call was made to Charles E. Wands (321-725-4760) on 7/19/05 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

8. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in

Art Unit: 2872

the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Arnel C. Lavarias whose telephone number is 571-272-2315. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:30 AM - 6 PM EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Drew Dunn can be reached on 571-272-2312. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Arnel C. Lavarias

Patent Examiner

Group Art Unit 2872

7/19/05